

Harvard Report Questions Value of 'College for All'

By Catherine Gewert

By concentrating too much on classroom-based academics with four-year college as a goal, the nation's education system has failed vast numbers of students, who instead need solid preparation for careers requiring less than a bachelor's degree, Harvard scholars say in a [report issued today](#).

Leaders of the **"Pathways to Prosperity" project** at Harvard University's Graduate School of Education argue for an education system that clearly articulates students' career options as early as middle school and defines the coursework and training required, so young people can chart an informed course toward work, whether as an electrician or a college professor.

Their report arrives as experts are trying to define what skills are necessary for work and for higher learning.

The proposal from an esteemed school of education sparked immediate concern—including what one activist called "a major case of heartburn"—for raising the specter of tracking, in which disadvantaged students would be channeled unquestioningly into watered-down programs that curtail their prospects.

The Harvard study also drew notice because it was driven in part by the concerns of one of its co-authors, **Robert B. Schwartz**, a prominent champion of higher academic expectations for all students, who said he began to doubt the wisdom of a "college for all" approach to education. Another co-author, **Ronald Ferguson**, the director of Harvard's Achievement Gap Initiative, is a national expert on improving learning opportunities for disadvantaged children.

The authors contend that their vision would expand opportunity for all students, especially those who face the dimmest prospects now because their education stops at high school. Rather than derailing some students from higher learning, their system would actually open more of those pathways, they say, by offering sound college preparation and rigorous career-focused, real-world learning, and by defining clear routes from secondary school into certificate or college programs.

"Every high school graduate should find viable ways of pursuing both a career and a meaningful postsecondary degree or credential," the report says. "For too many of our youth, we have treated preparing for college versus preparing for a career as mutually exclusive options."

Job Demands

The Harvard report echoes concerns captured in a stream of papers since the late 1980s that young

[Back to Story](#)



Let them play it out
before they live it out

TRY IT FOR FREE! ENTER CODE: edweek

**WILL
CAMPUS**

Fully Interactive Games that will Improve
Your Teens' Decision Making Skills

people not bound for college face a daunting employment landscape. It draws on employment data that show more jobs demand some postsecondary training. Such figures have led President Barack Obama to urge all Americans to obtain at least one year of training or higher education after high school.

In 1973, seven in 10 jobs in the United States were held by those with only a high school education, but by 2007, that figure dropped to four in 10, the report says. Half the jobs created in the next decade will be well matched to those with associate's degrees or vocational or technical training, including "middle skills" jobs such as construction manager or dental hygienist, it says. Many of those jobs pay more than jobs typically held by workers with only high school diplomas, and some even pay more than the average job held by a four-year college graduate, according to the study.

Six in 10 Americans don't complete associate's or bachelor's degrees by their mid-20s, the report notes, and only one in 10 earns an occupational certificate. Those figures, combined with the job forecasts, suggest that education must be fundamentally reworked to ensure sound options for non-college-bound students, the authors say.

Drawing on European systems of vocational education, they argue for an American version of a "more holistic" education that would involve employers in defining the skills necessary for work and providing internships, apprenticeships, and other opportunities linked tightly to students' courses of study. Pivotal to such a system would be career counseling embedded in schools from early in students' education.

A focus on better preparing students for middle-skills jobs is long overdue, said Anthony P. Carnevale, one of the job-market experts whose research is cited in the study.

"If there is one thing in education that I would tell the president to do, this is it," said Mr. Carnevale, the director of the **Georgetown University Center on Education and the Workforce**. "Since 1983 and *A Nation at Risk*, we've been very single-minded about kids going to college. It's good, but it's too narrow."

But creating varied pathways is fraught with political peril because of the risk that some students will be held to lower expectations, Mr. Carnevale said.

In apparent anticipation of such concerns, the authors say that in their system, students would "not be locked into one career at an early age." But they also say in the report that "the coursetaking requirements for entry into the most demanding four-year colleges should not be imposed on students seeking careers with fewer academic requirements."

Premature Shift?

Some education advocates reacted with alarm to the recommendations, especially given the virtual absence of career counseling in the K-12 or community college system to help level the playing field between disadvantaged students and more-fortunate ones.

RELATED BLOG



[Visit this blog.](#)

"They're arguing for different standards and separate tracks," said Kati Haycock, the president of the Education Trust, a Washington-based group that focuses on policies to improve education for low-income students. "Every single time we create multiple tracks, we always send disproportionate numbers of poor kids and kids of color down the lesser one. Until we can find a way not to do that, then people like me will object."

Mr. Schwartz of Harvard acknowledged that the report wades into "tricky terrain." But he said that tracking is "when schools make decisions about what kids are capable of and what their futures are. It's pervasive in our schools, and it's a huge problem."

"But I wouldn't confuse that form of tracking," he said, "with trying to create a system in which by the time kids hit 16, they and their families have some real choices to make."

Michael Cohen, who succeeded Mr. Schwartz as the president of Achieve, a Washington-based organization that works with states to raise their academic expectations, took issue with the report's depiction of the college-readiness agenda as having failed. Only recently, he said, have states adopted course requirements that reflect the skills and knowledge needed for college and good jobs.

"To say we've tried this and it failed seems a bit premature, like snatching defeat from the jaws of victory," he said.

In addition, he speculated, shorthand rhetoric might be confusing what people mean by "college for all."

"No one is talking about preparing everyone for four-year colleges, or even two-year colleges," said Mr. Cohen. "It's a straw man. Everyone from the president on down is saying, 'Some form of training after high school.' "

Some states and districts are moving toward highly rigorous versions of career and technical education. The report cites examples such as California's Linked Learning initiative, which combines work-based learning with counseling supports, and Massachusetts' network of regional vocational-technical schools.

Construction Technology Academy at Kearny High School in San Diego, one of the 50-plus campuses in California's Linked Learning network, could illustrate some of what the report's authors have in mind, said Gary Hoachlander, the president of ConnectEd, a Berkeley, Calif.-based nonprofit group that supports Linked Learning schools.

Students who choose the academy study architecture, engineering, and construction as well as the typical core curriculum, he said. Some go on to apprenticeship programs in the construction trades, some go to community colleges, and some enroll in universities, but all students take courses in the principles of engineering, computer-assisted design, carpentry, and electricity, Mr. Hoachlander said.

"There are no traditional separations between the students headed to one place and those headed to another," he said. "They all study the same things. And those connections are what's so powerful."

Coverage of "deeper learning" that will prepare students with the skills and knowledge needed to succeed in a rapidly changing world is supported in part by a grant from the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, at www.hewlett.org.

RELATED STORIES

"Advocates Push New Definition of Career Readiness," April 15, 2010.

"A New Vision of Career Technical Education," (Curriculum Matters Blog) March 19, 2010.

"High School, College Standards Out of Sync, Survey Finds," April 10, 2007.

"Ambiguity About Preparation for Workforce Clouds Efforts to Equip Students for Future," May 24, 2006.

"Skills for Work, College Readiness Are Found Comparable," May 10, 2006.