MAY 29, 2025

The Trump Administration's Education Agenda: A Tragedy in 2 Acts

The Trump administration's funding cuts and attacks on public education are just the beginning.

JACK SCHNEIDER and JENNIFER C. BERKSHIRE



Protesters stage a "study-in" in front of the Department of Education on March 21, 2025. (Kayla Bartkowski / Getty Images)

Burn it down. That's what appears to be the guiding strategy of the Trump administration—particularly when it comes to education. Cancel the grants and contracts. Fire the employees. Shred the mission statement. Close the department.

In the coming months and years, however, our understanding of the story is likely to change. When we look back at what happened after the administration cut to the bone, we'll likely see that a different strategy was at play.

At the heart of the DOGE-MAGA alliance are two organizational philosophies. The first is Elon Musk's puerile insight that trimming organizational "fat" can only be done by cutting away the "muscle" to which it is attached. Organizations, in other words, can be made stronger through drastic elective surgery. The second philosophy is Donald Trump's view that CEOs rule from on high. Chief executives shouldn't be hemmed in by rulebound agencies and politically nonaligned civil servants.

Put these together, and what you get is a two-part strategy. First, empty the institutions. Then, build them back in a manner that ensures total loyalty to the man at the top.

In education, the public has focused principally on act one —the emptying of institutions—as if there were no second act to come. Largely, this is because Donald Trump <u>vowed</u> to close the US Department of Education, which he seems to be doing. It is important to recall, however, that this has been a Republican talking point for nearly half a century, beginning with <u>Ronald Reagan</u>'s 1980 presidential campaign. Closing the Department of Education has continued to play well with constituents; but it's more of a symbolic target than it is a substantive policy aim. Yes, there have been massive layoffs. Yes, cuts to grants and contracts have been devastating. Yes, the work of the agency is being scattered to the winds. But that is not where the story ends.

In act two, the administration will begin building back its education program. And, as it restores the so-called muscle —whether or not that happens within something called the Department of Education—it will do so with the aim of purely partisan policymaking. This, ultimately, is what the public should be tracking, because this is the far more threatening gambit. Leveling the Department of Education would be one thing; weaponizing it is quite another.

Signs of what such a reconstituted education program will look like are already coming into view. Take, for example, "patriotic education"—a preoccupation of Trump's, which gave rise to the <u>1776 Commission</u> in the waning days of his first term. As signaled by the <u>executive order</u> banning "radical indoctrination in K-12 education," the administration remains committed to exactly the sort of federal overreach that the president's <u>Republican enablers</u> <u>claim</u> he will bring to a halt. "Children will be taught to love America. Children will be taught to be patriots," declared White House policy adviser Stephen Miller at a recent <u>press</u> <u>conference</u>. "Children will be taught civic values for schools that want federal taxpayer funding."

Trump-loyal state officials are already doing their part to

enact the administration's curricular ambitions. In Oklahoma, <u>new social studies standards</u> require high school students to "identify discrepancies in 2020 elections results," including widely debunked claims about alleged voter fraud. After Oklahoma's state Senate declined to vote on the controversial requirement, the new standards passed by default. Ryan Walters, the state's top education official, took a victory lap celebrating what <u>he described</u> as the most "unapologetically conservative, pro-America social studies standards in the nation."

We can also expect the Department of Education to pressure states, school districts, and schools to abandon the programs and policies Trump opposes. The department's revamped civil rights division, for instance, recently launched <u>an investigation</u> of a Denver high school where students had lobbied successfully for the creation of a gender-neutral bathroom. Such politically motivated probes will become more commonplace in the months and years to come. In concert with compliant states, the administration will use its weaponized Department of Education to make unprecedented demands on what's happening inside classrooms.

The administration will also use its education muscle to privatize K-12 education. Tucked into the budget reconciliation bill, the <u>Educational Choice for Children Act</u>, is a private-school voucher scheme that also functions as a <u>tax shelter</u> for the wealthy. By channeling public dollars to private schools, the program will immediately benefit the nation's wealthiest families at taxpayers' expense, and will likely increase participation in <u>state-funded voucher</u> <u>programs</u>. At the same time, it will make it possible for those contributing to voucher-granting organizations to enjoy an unprecedented 100 percent reimbursement while also avoiding capital gains taxes on gifts of corporate stock.

Finally, the White House will continue working to reshape America's colleges and universities. Trump's war on Harvard has dominated the headlines, but what's more concerning is the administration's plan to limit access to higher education. Americans have long seen schooling as the primary vehicle for making the country more equal. Yet Trump rejects that view entirely, seeing equality of opportunity not as a worthwhile aspiration but, rather, as a misguided effort to flatten out natural hierarchies. In the administration's view, the nation sends too many kids to college who don't belong there—an example of misguided social engineering. The White House budget, along with a complementary array of GOP higher education proposals, would make student loans more expensive and reduce student eligibility for Pell grants. And that's likely just the start. In an interview with The Wall Street Journal last year, influential right-wing activist Christopher Rufo stated that his goal is to reduce the number of students who attend college by half.

There has been no small amount of hand-wringing about the downsizing of the federal government, particularly in the realm of education. In the past four months, we have witnessed a retreat from institutional responsibility, the degradation of organizational infrastructure, and sharp reductions in staff and budgets. But the real drama is only just beginning, and we should not mistake this intermission for the end of the production.

Having laid waste to both the animating vision for federal involvement in education and the structural capacity for

realizing that vision, the Trump administration has begun to raise the curtain on act two. And though the second half of this tragedy isn't yet written, the plot points are clear. Unless we intervene, it ends in catastrophe. \mathbb{N}



Every day, *The Nation* exposes the administration's unchecked and reckless abuses of power through clear-eyed, uncompromising independent journalism—the kind of journalism that holds the powerful to account and helps build alternatives to the world we live in now.

We have just the right people to confront this

moment. Speaking on *Democracy Now!*, *Nation* DC Bureau chief **Chris Lehmann** translated the complex terms of the budget bill into the plain truth, describing it as "the single largest upward redistribution of wealth effectuated by any piece of legislation in our history." In the pages of the June print issue and on *The Nation Podcast*, **Jacob Silverman** dove deep into how crypto has captured American campaign finance, revealing that it was the top donor in the 2024 elections as an industry and won nearly every race it supported.

This is all in addition to *The Nation*'s exceptional coverage of matters of war and peace, the courts, reproductive justice, climate, immigration, healthcare,

and much more.

Our 160-year history of sounding the alarm on presidential overreach and the persecution of dissent has prepared us for this moment. 2025 marks a new chapter in this history, and we need you to be part of it.

We're aiming to raise \$20,000 during our June Fundraising Campaign to fund our change-making reporting and analysis. Stand for bold, independent journalism and donate to support *The Nation* today.

Onward,

Katrina vanden Heuvel Publisher, *The Nation*

<u>Jack Schneider</u>

<u>Jack Schneider</u> is Dwight W. Allen Distinguished Professor of Education at the University of Massachusetts Amherst, and the coauthor, with Jennifer C. Berkshire, of <u>A Wolf at</u> <u>the Schoolhouse Door</u> and the forthcoming <u>The Education</u> <u>Wars: A Citizen's Guide and Defense Manual</u>. They also cohost the education policy podcast <u>Have You Heard</u>.

Jennifer C. Berkshire

Jennifer C. Berkshire hosts the education podcast Have You

Heard. She is the author, with Jack Schneider, of <u>The</u> <u>Education Wars: A Citizen's Guide and Defense Manual</u>.